BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE MATTER OF 3 ROY GUSTAFSON, 4 d.b.a. LaCharme Apartments, Appellant, 5 6 VS. PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 ( Respondent. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

PCHB No. 262

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER

A formal hearing on the appeal of Roy Gustafson, owner of LaCharme Apartments, to a Notice of Civil Penalty of \$25.00 for an alleged violation of respondent's smoke emission regulations, came before Board members W. A. Gissberg and James T. Sheehy on March 19, 1973 in Seattle, Washington. Appellant appeared pro se and respondent appeared through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin.

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusion

and Order which were submitted to the appellant and respondent on May 11, 1 No objections or exceptions to the Proposed Order having been 2 received, the Board makes and enters the following: 3 FINDINGS OF FACT 4 Ι. 5 The LaCharme Apartments are located in Seattle, King County, 6 7 Washington, and owned by Roy Gustafson. On November 27 and November 28, 8 1972, he caused or allowed black smoke to be emitted therefrom for 9 periods of 4.5 minutes and 7.5 minutes respectively, which smoke was 10 darker in shade than No. 3.5 on the Ringelmann Chart. 11 II. 12 Appellant admits to the violation which occurred at a time when 13 appellant was installing interruptible natural gas service. 14 III. 15 Appellant was assessed a civil penalty of \$25.00 for the 16 November 28, 1972 violation; none was assessed for the November 27, 17 1972 violation. 18 IV. 19 Section 9.03(a) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to 20 cause or allow the emission of an air contaminant for more than three 21minutes in any hour which is darker in shade than that designated as 22No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart. 23 From which the Board makes the following 24CONCLUSION OF LAW 25 Appellant violated respondent's Regulation I on November 27 and

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER

on November 28, 1972, each being separate violations.

26

From which follows this DECISION AND ORDER Respondent's Notice of Civil Penalty is affirmed. DONE at Lacey, Washington this POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD SHEEHY, Member .5

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER